Germination and Seedling Characters of Different Wheat Cultivars under Salinity Stress

A.A. Kandil¹, A.E. Sharief^{1,*} and M.A. Elokda²

¹Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt

²Central Administration for Seed certification (CASC), Variety Testing Administration, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt

Abstract: The impact of salt stress under different salinity level (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 dS/m-¹ NaCl) on eleven varieties of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) viz., Sakha 93, Gemmeza 7, Egaseed 7, Sakha 94, Gemmeza 10, Egaseed 3, Masr 2, Masr 1, Gemmeza 9, Sids 1 and Giza 168 was conducted. A laboratory experiment was conducted at Giza Central Seed Testing laboratory of Central Administration for Seed Testing and Certification. Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. Wheat cultivars significantly varied in means of final germination percentage, germination rate, germination index, vigor index, shoot length, root length, shoot fresh weight, root fresh weight, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, seedling height reduction and relative dry weight. Sakha 93 and Sakha 94 had positive effects in most of studied characters while, Masr 1 cultivar had negative effects in most of germination parameters under study. Salinity concentrations significantly varied in all germination parameters under study except shoot dry weight character. Increasing salinity concentrations from 0 to 14 dS/m-1 gradually decreased average of germination and seedling characters. All studied characters were significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress, vice versa, root length didn't affect by the interaction. It could be concluded that for maximizing wheat germination percentage and seedling parameters under salinity stress are recognized by using Sakha 93, Sakha 94 and Gemmeza 10 cultivars with increasing salinity concentrations levels up to 14 dS/m-1. Among the cultivars under investigation Sakha 93 and Sakha 94 cultivars appeared to be more tolerant to salinity at germination stage compared with other cultivars. These cultivars were more tolerant to salinity and recommended to use in breeding program for enhancing Wheat production in Egypt.

Keywords: Bread wheat cultivars, salinity concentrations, germination characters, seedling parameters.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat production in the Mediterranean region is restricted mainly by the accessibility of water resources and soil salinity. Wheat is a considered main staple food crop for more than one third of the world population [1] and is the main food for Egyptian. There is a huge shortage in production of wheat in Egypt, it imported more than 50% of our consumption [2]. The extreme increase in population in Egypt needs to increase wheat production in order to overcome this lack in production through its cultivation in the new reclaimed soils especially under saline conditions of such soil. Wheat is one of the most effectual and commercial means of reclaiming hundreds of thousands of hectares of saline lands in Egypt. Soil salinity is one of the most imperative abiotic stress and limiting factor [3] for worldwide plant production. Up to 20% of the irrigated soil in arid and semi-arid regions is already salt artificial and is still increasing [4].

Germination of seed is an important point in seedling establishment and subsequent plant health and vigour. Seeds may be more susceptible to stresses than mature plants, because of exposure the dynamic environment close to the soil surface [5]. Seedling establishment at early growth stages of plants is determined of high yield is sternly affected by soil salinity [6].

Wheat varieties showed a great variation in germination due to salinity effects. Many investigators such as [7-9] concluded that differences among wheat cultivars in germination characters and seedling parameters. Therefore, we conclude that the measurements of shoot growth may be effective criteria for screening wheat genotypes for salt tolerance at early growth stages [10]. Salts present in water reduce plant growth due to its decrease water up take by plant which results in slow plant growth and due to salt may break in the leaf xylem and ultimately harm leaves which turn down plant growth.

Salinity is one of the major obstacles in enhancing wheat production in growing areas in Egypt. Salinity affects wheat seed germination [11] and seedling characters plants [12] by slow or less recruitment of reserve foods [13] suspending the cell division, amplification [14] and injuring hypocotyls [15]. Salinity can affect germination and seedling growth either by creating an osmotic pressure that prevents water uptake or by toxic effects of sodium and chloride ions

^{*}Address corresponding to this author at the Agronomy Dept., Fac. of Agric., Mansoura University, 35516 El-Mansoura, Egypt; Tel: +201222986347; Fax: +2052221688; E-mail: shariefali42@gmail.com

on seed germination [16, 17]. However, screening for seeds with a greater tolerance to salt stress aids in the development of salt tolerant cultivars. Increasing levels of salinity (NaCl) significantly reduced the seedling growth parameters in all studied wheat genotypes [6, 16, 18-21]. Therefore the aim of the present study were i) to assess the impact of salt stress on different cultivars of wheat ii) to screen wheat cultivars for salt stress by assessing germination and seedling properties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The laboratory experiment was conducted at Giza Central Seed Testing laboratory of Central Administration for Seed Testing and Certification (CASC), Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt during the period of February 2012 to April 2012 to study the response of fifteen cultivars of wheat to germination under salinity stress and to confirm the seedling growth performance to examine a range of genetic variability for salinity tolerance among wheat cultivars.

Plant Material

Eleven breadwheat cultivars were selected i.e. Masr 1, Masr 2, Egaseed 3 Egaseed 7, Sids 1, Sakha 93, Sakha 94, Gemmeza 7, Gemmeza 9, Gemmeza 10 and Giza 168 to study germination of some wheat seed cultivars under different levels of salinity. Wheat seeds of this study were obtained from Agriculture Research except Egaseed cultivars was obtained from private company. Seeds were stored at laboratory conditions in cloth bags inside plastic bags under laboratory conditions. Factorial experiment in Randomized Complete Block Design in four replications was used. The experiment includes two factors. The first factor 7 levels of NaCl beside the control treatment. The salinity NaCl levels 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 dS/m-¹ NaCl was used. The second factor include eleven bread wheat cultivars were Sakha 93, Gemmeza 7, Egaseed 7, Sakha 94, Gemmeza 10, Egaseed 3, Masr 2, Masr 1, Gemmeza 9, Sids 1 and Giza 168.

Studied characters

A. Germination Characteristics

1 Final Germination Percentage (FGP): germination count was taken after 14 days from sowing date and expressed as percentage according to the following equation described by [22,23]:

(FGP) = Number of germinated seed / Total Number of seed tested ×100.

2 Germination Rate (GR): it was calculated according to the following equation described by [24]:

(GR) = Number of germinated seeds/Number of germination days

3 Germination Index (%): it was calculated according to [25] as the following equation (GI):

(GI) = % Germination each treatment / % Germination in the control X 100

4 Seedling vigor index (VI): it was calculated according to [26] as the following equation:

(VI) =(Average shoot length + Average root length) X Germination percentage.

B. Seedling Characteristics

After 14 days ten seedlings were selected from each replicates and then seedlings were evaluated as follows:

- 1 Shoot length: the length of the ten seedlings from the seed to the tip of the leaf blade were recorded and expressed in centimeters as the shoot length.
- 2 Root length: The root length of ten seedlings from the seed to the tip of the root and recorded and expressed in centimeters (cm) as the root length
- 3 Shoot fresh weight: The weight of ten seedling shoots was measure and expressed in milligram as the shoot fresh weight.
- 4 Root fresh weight: The weight of ten seedling roots were measured and expressed in milligram (mg) as the root fresh weight.
- 5 Shoot dry weight: The weight of ten seedling roots were recorded and expressed in milligram after oven drying at 70 °c for 72 h.
- 6 Root dry weight : The weight of ten seedling roots were recorded and expressed in milligram after oven drying at 70 ° C for 72 h.
- 7 Seedling height reduction(%): The seedling height reduction (SHR) according to [23] was calculated using the following equation:

SHR (%) = (Plant height at control – Plant height at saline condition) / Plant height at saline condition X 100.

8 Relative dry weight(%) the relative dry weight (RDW) according to [27] was calculated using the following equation: RDW (%) = Total dry weight under saline condition / Total dry weight under control condition × 100.

Statistical Analysis

All data of this study were statistically analyzed according to the technique of variance (ANOVA) for the factorial Randomized Complete Block Design as published by [28]. Least Significant Difference (LSD) method was used to test the differences between treatment means at 5% level of probability as described by [29].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Performance of Cultivars

Results in Table 1 clearly showed that the tested cultivars of Wheat significantly varied for averages of final germination percentage, germination rate, germination index, seedling vigor index, shoot length, root length, shoot fresh weight, root fresh weight, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, seedling height reduction and relative dry weight. Sakha 93 cultivar significantly exceeded the other studied cultivars in final germination percentage (93.66 %). Sown Sakha 94 cultivar came in the second rank (91.25 %). While, the lowest final germination percentage was recorded by Masr 2 cultivar (73.79%). Sakha 93 cultivar significantly exceeded the other studied cultivars in germination rate (11.11%) and Sakha 94 came in the second rank and recorded 11.00%. While, Masr 2 cultivar produced the lowest germination rate (8.65%). Sakha 93 and Sakha 94 cultivars significantly exceeded the other studied cultivars in germination index without significant differences between them (94.41 and 92.04%, respectively). While, Masr 1, Masr 2, Egaseed 3 and Egaseed 7 cultivars produced the lowest germination index without significant differences between them. Sakha 93 significantly exceeded the other studied cultivars in vigor index(32.56%). Sakha 94 came in the second rank and recorded 30.96%. The lowest vigor index values was recorded from sown Masr 2 cultivar. The differences between wheat cultivars in final germination percentage might be due to the genetical factors and heredity variation among the eleven wheat cultivars under study which caused differed in final germination percentage. Sakha 94 cultivar significantly produced tallest shoot (12.96 cm). Gemmiza 7 and Sakha 93 came in the second and third rank (11.97

and 11.27 cm, respectively). Masr 2 cultivar produced the shortest shoots (5.33 cm). Sakha 93 and Sakha 94 cultivars significantly exceeded the other studied cultivars in root length (21.05 and 20.58 cm, respectively) without significant differences between them. Gemmeza 7 cultivar came in the second rank (18.75 cm). While, Masr 2 cultivar produced the shortest root (10.5 cm). Sakha 93 cultivar significantly exceeded other studied cultivars in shoot fresh weight (1.599 g). Sakha 94 cultivar came in the second rank (1.504 g). The lowest fresh shoot weight was obtained from Egaseed 7 cultivar (1.195 g). Sakha 93 cultivar significantly recorded highest weight of fresh root than other studied cultivars (1.892 g). The lowest weight of fresh root was obtained from Masr 2 (0.702 g). Sakha 93 cultivar significantly exceeded other studied cultivars in shoot dry weight (0.164 g). Sakha 94 cultivar came in the second rank (0.155 g). Whilst, Masr 1 cultivar recorded the lowest dry weight of shoot (0.050 g). Sakha 93, Sakha 94, Gemmeza 7, Gemmeza 9, Gemmeza 10 and Sids 1 cultivars significantly enhanced the other studied cultivars in root dry weight (0.167, 0.156, 0.147, 0.137, 0,126 and 0.116 g, respectively). Egaseed 3, Giza 168, Egaseed 7 and Masr 1 came in the second rank (0.101, 0.107, 0.091 and 0.064 g). However, Masr 2 cultivar produced the lowest root dry weight (0.057 g). Highest seedling height reduction percentages were obtained from sown Masr 1 and Masr 2 without significant differences between them (41.67 and 40.33, respectively). Gemmeza 9, Sids 1, Sakha 93, Gemmeza 7 and Sakha 94 came in the second rank without significantly differences between them (28.93, 27.15, 27.07, 26.01 and 24.39%, respectively). However, Gemmeza 10 cultivar produced the lowest seedling height reduction (22.47%). Highest relative dry weight was obtained from sown Egaseed 3, Sakha 93, Egaseed 7, Gemmeza 7, Gemmeza 9, Gemmeza 10, Giza 168, Sakha 94 and Sids 1 came in the first rank without significantly differences between them (96.64, 96.29, 96.26, 95.80, 95.27, 94.77, 94.75, 94.60 and 94.38%, respectively. However, lowest relative dry weight was obtained from sown Masr 1 and Masr 2 without significant differences between them (91.55 and 92.54%, respectively. Salinity reduced all germination properties of wheat cultivars, especially seed vigour. These results might due to genetic variation exist among wheat cultivars in terms of early seedling growth rate under salt stress condition [30]. Salinity affects germination in two ways the first may be enough salt in the medium decrease the osmotic potential to such a point which retard or prevent the

 Table 1:
 Averages of Final Germination Percentage (%), Germination Rate, Germination Index, Vigor Index, Shoot Length, Root Length, Shoot Fresh Weight, Root Fresh Weight, Shoot Dry Weight, Root Dry Weight, Seedling Height Reduction and Relative Dry Weight as Affected by Wheat Studied Cultivars

Characters Treatments	Final germin ation percent age (%)	Germin ation rate (days)	Germin ation index	Vigor index	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot fresh weight (g)	Root fresh weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)	Root dry weight (g)	Seedlin g height reducti on (%)	Relative dry weight (%)
Cultivars performance:												
Msar 1	75.04	9.09	82.45	13.82	6.02	11.70	1.253	0.787	0.050	0.064	41.67	91.55
Msar 2	73.79	8.65	81.70	12.19	5.33	10.50	1.255	0.702	0.071	0.057	40.33	92.546
Egaseed 3	77.66	9.40	82.08	16.59	7.25	13.45	1.257	1.091	0.101	0.101	35.47	96.646
Egaseed 7	75.45	9.71	82.45	14.89	6.64	12.50	1.195	1.089	0.091	0.091	35.92	96.267
Sids 1	81.75	10.32	87.62	20.43	8.87	15.66	1.314	1.298	0.116	0.116	27.15	94.388
Sakha 93	93.66	11.11	94.41	32.56	11.27	21.05	1.599	1.892	0.164	0.167	27.07	96.292
Sakha 94	91.25	11.00	92.04	30.96	12.96	20.58	1.504	1.766	0.155	0.156	24.39	94.613
Gemmeza 7	87.58	10.70	88.58	27.39	11.97	18.75	1.404	1.830	0.146	0.147	26.01	95.808
Gemmeza 9	85.91	10.92	87.75	24.93	10.60	17.83	1.310	1.559	0.118	0.137	28.93	95.279
Gemmeza 10	84.91	10.34	89.45	22.83	6.60	16.83	1.255	1.383	0.126	0.126	22.47	94.779
Giza 168	79.70	9.99	84.87	18.84	8.10	14.95	1.311	0.921	0.105	0.107	33.61	94.788
F. test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 5%	1.21	0.06	2.29	0.87	0.41	0.81	0.008	0027	0.001	0.0006	3.10	1.20
LSD at 1%	1.87	0.08	1.95	1.14	0.54	1.06	0.011	0.031	0.002	0.0007	4.07	1.58

uptake of water necessary for mobilization of nutrient required for germination, the second due to salt constituents or ions may be toxic to the embryo [31]. These results in good accordance with results reported by [6, 7, 9, 16, 18, 21, 30, 32-34].

2. Salinity Stress Effects

The results in Table 2 indicated that salinity stress treatments had significant effects on averages of final germination percentage, germination rate, germination index, vigor index, shoot length, root length, shoot fresh weight, root fresh weight, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, seedling height reduction and relative dry weight. The highest averages of these characters were recorded with control treatment. Highest salinity concentration up to 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl recorded the lowest averages of these characters. Increasing salinity concentrations from 0 to 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl gradually decreased averages of root length, shoot length, root fresh weight, shoot fresh weight and root dry weight. Highest final germination percentage (94.39 %) was recorded with the control treatment compared with other salinity levels, Treated seed with 2 and 4 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl came in the second and third ranks (92.15 and 90.42%, respectively). Highest salinity levels i.e. 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl recorded the lowest final germination percentage (68.21%). Highest values of seed germination rate were recorded with the control followed by those treated with 2, 4 and 6 dS/m⁻¹ NaCI without significant differences between them (11.07, 10.88, 10,64 and 10.28, respectively). The lowest seed germination rate was produced from increasing salinity levels from 8, 10, 12 and 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl without significant differences between them (9.83, 9.70, 9.43 and 9.18, respectively). Highest germination index was obtained from the control treatment (100.0%). Salinity level of 4 and 6 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl compared in the second and third ranks (97.93 and 93.93%, respectively). Highest of salinity level of 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl recorded the lowest germination index (71.63%). Highest vigor index percentages were recorded with the control treatment compared with other salinity stress levels (30.75%) followed by salinity levels of 2, 4 and 6 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl as an intermediate salinity levels. Highest salinity level of 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl recorded the lowest vigor index (12.40%). Increasing salinity levels from 0 to 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl gradually decreased shoot length. Longest shoots length (13.20 cm) produced from the control treatment compared with other salinity levels. Highest salinity level i.e. 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl produced the shortest shoot (5.37 cm). The desirable effect on shoot length as a result of using salinity treatments might be attributed to sodium concentration in the shoots during early growth. It could be noticed that increasing salinity levels from 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl significantly decreased with the control treatment. The tallest root length (19.16 cm) was recorded with the control treatment compared with other salinity stress concentrations. Highest salinity level i.e. 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl effect root length and

recorded the lowest root length (12.30 cm). The desirable effect of highest salinity level on root length may be due to damage of membrane as an important role in the cellular toxicity of NaCl. It could be concluded that increasing salinity levels from 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl significantly decreased root length by 5.2, 11.6, 14.9, 16,5, 25.2, 30.8 and 35.8%, respectively compared with the control treatment. Increasing salinity levels from 0 to 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl gradually decreased shoot fresh weight. Highest shoot fresh weight (1.535g) was obtained from the control treatment compared with other salinity stress levels. Highest salinity level of 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl recorded the lowest shoot fresh weight (1.136g). It could be concluded that increasing salinity levels from 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl significantly reduced weight fresh shoot by 3.7, 7.9, 11.4, 14.4, 18.8, 23.3 and 26.0%, respectively compared with the control treatment. Increasing salinity level from 0 to 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl gradually significantly decreased root fresh weight. Highest weight of root fresh (1.592g) was recorded with the control treatment compared with other salinity levels. Highest salinity level of i.e. 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl recorded the lowest root fresh weight (0.974g), which might be attributed to the toxic effects of salinity. Increasing salinity levels from 0 to 14 dS/m^{-1} NaCl gradually decreased shoot dry weight. Highest weight of dry shoot (0.124g) was obtained from the control treatment compared with other salinity levels. Highest salinity level of 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl recorded the lowest shoot dry weight (0.102g). Highest root dry weight (0.122g) was obtained from the control treatment compared with other salinity levels, followed

by 0.120 and 0.118 mg with 2 and 4 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl as intermediate values. Highest salinity level of 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl recorded the lowest root dry weight (0.110g). Increasing salinity levels from 0 to 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCI gradually increased seedling height reduction. Lowest seedling height reduction (11.61%) was obtained from treated with 2 dS/m⁻¹ NaCI compared with other salinity levels. Highest salinity level of 14 dS/m NaCl recorded highest seedling height reduction (59.77%). Increasing salinity levels from 0 to 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl gradually decreased relative dry weight. Lowest relative dry weight (89.51%) was obtained from treated with highest salinity level (14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl) compared with other salinity levels. Without salinity application (0 dS/ m⁻¹ NaCl) recorded highest relative dry weight (100%). Our results are in line with the findings of [35, 36] that germination was directly related to the amount of water absorbed and delay in germination to the salt concentration of the medium. The reduced level of seed germination may be due to (i) loss of viability at higher salinity level (ii) delaying germination of seeds at salinities that cause some stress to but not percent germination as reported by some workers [37]. The reduction in root and shoot development may be due to toxic effects of the higher level of NaCl concentration as well as unbalanced nutrient uptake by the seedlings. High level of salinity may have also inhibit the root and shoot elongation due to slowing down the water uptake for overall osmotic adjustments of the plant body under high salt stress condition. Decrease and delay in germination in saline medium has also been reported by [17, 38-49].

 Table 2:
 Averages of Final Germination Percentage (%), Germination Rate, Germination Index, Vigor Index, Shoot

 Length, Root Length, Shoot Fresh Weight, Root Fresh Weight, Shoot Dry Weight, Root Dry Weight, Seedling

 Height Reduction and Relative Dry Weight as Affected by Salinity Concentrations (NaCl)

Characters Treatments	Final germina tion percent age (%)	Germin ation rate	Germin ation index	Vigor index	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot fresh weight (g)	Root fresh weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)	Root dry weight (g)	Seedlin g height reducti on (%)	Relative dry weight (%)
Salinity stress (NaCl dS/m-1):												
O (control)	94.39	11.07	100.00	30.75	13.20	19.16	1.535	1.592	0.124	0.122	0.00	100.00
2 dS/m	92.15	10.88	97.93	27.88	11.73	18.16	1.478	1.506	0.121	0.120	11.61	98.482
4 dS/m	90.42	10.64	93.93	25.54	10.92	16.93	1.413	1.451	0.118	0.118	17.62	96.888
6 dS/m	87.36	10.28	91.87	23.05	9.60	16.30	1.360	1.375	0.114	0.116	27.54	95.452
8 dS/m	80.57	9.83	84.33	20.47	8.75	15.98	1.314	1.289	0.111	0.114	35.10	94.455
10 dS/m	75.69	9.70	79.84	17.03	7.50	14.33	1.247	1.208	0.107	0.112	43.90	92.509
12 dS/m	70.63	9.43	73.84	14.10	6.11	13.24	1.178	1.018	0.105	0.111	53.94	91.215
14 dS/m	68.21	9.18	71.63	12.40	5.37	12.30	1.136	0.974	0.102	0.110	59.77	89.512
F. test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 5%	1.21	0.05	1.95	0.74	0.35	0.69	0.007	0.023	0.001	0.0003	2.64	1.02
LSD at 1%	1.59	0.07	2.57	0.97	0.46	0.91	0.010	0.031	0.002	0.0004	3.47	1.35

3. Interaction Effects

The interaction between wheat cvs and salinity levels significantly affected final germination percentage as illustrated in Figure 1. Highest final germination % was recorded with sown Sakha 93 cultivar with increasing salinity level from 0 up to 8 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl (99.66, 99.66, 90.33,98.66 and 98.0% without significant differences between them, respectively). Highest germination rate was recorded with Sakha 93 cultivar at control treatment and or increasing salinity level up to 2 dS/m⁻¹ without significant differences between them followed by Sakha 94 at control treatment as illustrated in Figure 2. Highest germination index was recorded with all studied cultivars at the control treatment and salinity level of 2 or 4 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl without significant differences between them as shown in Figure 3. Highest vigor index values were resulted from sowing Sakha 93 cultivar with control treatment and or treated with 2 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl, which were 42.36 and 41.06, respectively as illustrated in Figure 4. Tallest shoots was obtained from Sakha 93 and Sakha 94 cultivars at control treatment (18.0 and 17.26, respectively) as presented in Figure 5. Highest weight of fresh shoot was obtained from sown Sakha 93 cultivar at control



Figure 1: Averages final germination percentage (%) as affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress (NaCl ds/m⁻¹).



Figure 2: Averages germination rate as affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress (NaCl ds/m⁻¹).



Figure 3: Averages germination index % as affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress (NaCl dS/m⁻¹).



Figure 4: Averages vigor index % as affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress (NaCl ds/m⁻¹).



Figure 5: Averages shoot length cm as affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress (NaCl ds/m⁻¹).



Figure 6: Averages shoot weight mg as affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress (NaCl ds/m⁻¹).



Figure 7: Averages root fresh weight mg as affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress (NaCl ds/m⁻¹).

treatment (1.94g) as illustrated in Figure **7**. Sakha 93 cultivar at control came in the second rank. However, the lowest weight of fresh shoot was obtained from sown Gemmeza 9 and Gemmeza 10 (1.02 and 1.02g, respectively). Highest root dry weight was resulted from sowing Sakha 93, Sakha 94, Gemmeza 7, Gemmeza 9 and Gemmeza 10 cultivars with control treatment without significant differences between them (0.173, 0.163, 0.154, 0.143 and 0.133g, respectively) as illustrated in Figure **8**. Highest percentages of seedling height reduction was resulted from sowing Masr 2 and Masr 1 cultivars and 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl salinity concentration without significant differences between them (73.43 and 70.56%, respectively) as presented in

Figure **9**. On contrary, the lowest seedling height reduction percentages was resulted from sowing Gemmeza 10 and Sids 1 cultivars with14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl salinity concentration without significant differences. Lowest relative dry weight was resulted from sowing Sakha 94 and Masr 1 cultivars with 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl salinity level without significant differences between them (81.86 and 82.26%, respectively) as illustrated in Figure **10**. Whilst, highest relative dry weight was resulted from sowing Sakha 93, Egaseed 3, Egaseed 7, Giza 168, Gemmeza 7, Gemmeza 9, Gemmeza 10, Sids 1 and Masr 2 cultivars with 14 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl salinity level without significant differences (93.56, 93.26, 92.56, 92.33, 91.60, 90.93, 90.10, 89.16 and 86.96%,



Figure 8: Averages shoot dry weight mg as affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress (NaCl ds/m⁻¹).



Figure 9: Averages root dry weight mg as affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress (NaCl ds/m⁻¹).



Figure 10: Percentages seedling height reduction % as affected by the interaction between cultivars and salinity stress (NaCl ds/m⁻¹).

respectively). However, Masr 1 cultivar decreased relative dry weight from 97.10 to 93.96% by increase salinity level from 2 dS/m⁻¹ to 4 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl, respectively and Giza 168 cultivar decreased relative dry weight from 95.33 to 90.86% by increase salinity level from 8 dS/m⁻¹ to 10 dS/m⁻¹ NaCl level, respectively. These results in good accordance with results reported by [6, 16, 21, 43, 47, 48, 50-52].

CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that for maximizing wheat germination characters and seedling parameters under salinity stress by using wheat Sakha 93 and Sakha 94 cultivars under salinity concentrations levels up to 14 dS/m⁻¹. These cultivars were more tolerant to salinity and recommended to use them in breeding program for enhancing bread wheat production in Egypt.

REFERENCES

- [1] Shirazi MU, Asif SM, Khanzada B, Khan MA, Mohammad A. Growth and ion accumulation in some wheat genotypes under NaCl stress. Pak J Biological Sci 2001; 4: 388-91. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2001.388.391</u>
- [2] Salam AG. Current status of durum wheat in Egypt and Future prospects 2002. http:// www. Fineprint.com. http://scialert.net/abstract/?doi=pjbs.2001.388.391
- Koyro HW. Effect of salinity on growth, photosynthesis, water relations and solute composition of the potential cash crop halophyte *Plantago coronopus* (L-). Environ Exp Bot 2006; 56: 136-46. http://journals.ohiolink.edu/ejc/article.cgi?issn= 00988472&issue=v56i0002&article=136_eosogppcchpc http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2005.02.001
- [4] Mühling KH, Läuchli A. Interaction of NaCl and Cd stress on compartmentation pattern of cations, antioxidant enzymes and proteins in leaves of two wheat genotypes differing in salt tolerance. Plant Soil 2003; 253: 219-31. http://www. ingentaconnect.com/content/klu/plso/2003/00000253/000000 01/05114355 http://dxi.org/10.1022/bi1024512010754

http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1024517919764

- [5] Dodd GL, Donovan LA. Water potential and ionic effects on germination and seedling growth of two cold desert shrubs. Am J Bot 1999; 86: 1146-53. http://www.amjbot.org/content/ 86/8/1146.abstract http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2656978
- [6] Khayatnezhad M, Roza G, Shahzad JS, Rogayyeh Z. Study of NaCl salinity effect on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars at germination stage. American-Eurasian J Agric Environ Sci 2010; 9(2): 128-32. http://www.idosi.org/aejaes/ jaes9(2)/4.pdf
- [7] Sadat NSA, McNeilly T. Assessment of variability in salt tolerance based on seedling growth in *Triticum durum Desf.* Genet Resour Crop Evol 2000; 47: 285-91. http://www.springerlink.com/content/w0575191q6184167/ http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1008749312148
- [8] Aslam KM, Muhammad A, Nazim H, Tahir I. Growth analysis of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars under saline conditions. Int J Agric Biol 2005; 7(3): 508-10. http://www.fspublishers.org/ijab/pastissues/IJABVOL_7_NO_3/41.pdf

- [9] EI-Hendawy SE, Yuncai H, Gamal MY, Ahmed MA, Salah EH, Urs S. Evaluating salt tolerance of wheat genotypes using multiple parameters. Eur J Agronomy 2005; 22: 243-53. http://www.pe.wzw.tum.de/publikationen/pdf/sd601.pdf http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2004.03.002
- [10] EI-Hendawy SE, Hu Y, Sakagami JI, Schmidhalter U. Screening Egyptian Wheat Genotypes for Salt Tolerance at Early Growth Stages. Int J Plant Prod 2011; 5(3): 283-98. http://journals.gau.ac.ir:8080/05939294-A2F0-4407-A8BC-E2A7833A2B72/FinalDownload/DownloadId-855FE015C826E6A7D24ED86786D0239B/05939294-A2F0-4407-A8BC-E2A7833A2B72/jm/Programs/JurnalMgr/VolumArticle/EN_18 6_9.pdf
- [11] Sairam RK, Veerabhadra RK, Srivastava GC. Differential response of wheat genotypes to long term salinity stress in relation to oxidative stress, antioxidant activity and osmolyte concentration. Plant Sci 2002; 163: 1037-46. http://eprints.iari.res.in/212/ http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(02)00278-9
- [12] Tezara W, Martinez D, Rengifo E, Herrera A. Photosynthetic response of the tropical spiny shrub Lycium nodosum (Solanaceae) to drought, soil salinity and saline spray. Ann Botany 2003; 92: 757-65. http://aob.oxfordjournals.org/ content/92/6/757 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcg199
- Kayani SA, Naqvi HH, Ting IP. Salinity effects on germination and mobilization of reserves in Jojoba seed. Crop Sci 1990; 30(3): 704-708. http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search/ display.do?f=1990/US/US90433.xml;US9044919 http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1990.0011183X0030000300 46x
- [14] Meiri A, Poljakoff-Mayber A. Effect of various salinity regimes on growth, leaf expression's and transpiration rate of bean plants. Plant Soil Sci 1970; 109: 26-34. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00010694-197001000-00006</u>
- [15] Assadian NW, Miyamoto S. Salt effects on alfalfa seedling emergence. Agron J 1987; 79: 710-14. https://www.crops. org/publications/aj/abstracts/79/4/AJ0790040710?access=0& view=pdf <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agronj1987.0002196200790004002</u> <u>6x</u>
- [16] Akbarimoghaddam H, Galavi HM, Ghanbari A, Panjehkeh N. Salinity effects on seed germination and seedling growth of bread wheat cultivars. Trakia J Sci 2011; 9(1): 43-50. http://tru.uni-sz.bg/tsj/Vol9N1_2011/H.Akbarinoghaddam.pdf
- [17] Guo R, Lian XS, XueMei D, et al. Effects of saline and alkaline stress on germination, seedling growth, and ion balance in wheat. Agronomy J 2010; 102(4): 1252-60. http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agronj2010.0022
- [18] Khan MA. Impact assessment of sodium chloride on seedling parameters of different wheat genotypes in Pakistan. World Appl Sci J 2009; 7(12): 1494-97. http://idosi.org/wasj/ wasj7(12)/4.pdf
- [19] Begum F, Ahmed IM, Nessa A, Sultana W. The effect of salinity on seed quality of wheat. J Bangladesh Agric Univ 2010; 8(1): 19-22. http://www.banglajol.info/index.php/ JBAU/article/view/6392/0 http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/jbau.v8i1.6392
- [20] Gholamin R, Majid K, Shahzad JS, Rogayyeh ZM. Effects of polyethylene glycol and NaCl stress on two cultivars of wheat (*Triticum durum*) at germination and early seedling stages. American-Eurasian J Agric Environ 2010; 9(1): 86-90. http://iauardabil.academia.edu/MajidKhayatnezhad/Papers/3 74457/10.Effects_of_Polyethylene_Glycol_and_NaCl_Stress _on_Two_Cultivars_of_Wheat
- [21] Ghaloo SH, Soomro ZA, Khan NU, et al. Response of wheat genotypes to salinity at early growth stages. Pak J Bot 2011;

43(1): 617-23. http://www.pakbs.org/pjbot/PDFs/43(1)/ PJB43(1)617.pdf

- [22] Ellis RA, Roberts EH. The Quantification of Ageing and Survival in Orthodox Seeds. Seed Sci Technol 1981; 9: 373-409.
- [23] Ruan S, Xue Q, Tylkowska K. The influence of priming on germination of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seeds and seedling emergence and performance in flooded soils. Seed Sci Technol 2002; 30: 61-67. http://cat.inist.fr/?aModele= afficheN&cpsidt=13659042
- [24] Ellis RH, Roberts EH. Towards a rational basis for seed testing seed quality. In: Hebblethwaitei P, Ed. Seed Production. Butterworth, London 1980; pp. 605-635.
- [25] Karim MA, Utsunomiya N, Shigenaga S. Effect of Sodium chloride on germination and growth of hexaploid triticale at early seedling stage. Jpn J Crop Sci 1992; 61: 279-84. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1626/jcs.61.279</u>
- [26] Abdul-Baki AA, Anderson JD. Vigor determination in soybean by multiple criteria. Crop Sci 1973; 13: 630-33. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1973.0011183X0013000600</u> <u>13x</u>
- [27] Islam MM, Karim MA. Evaluation of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes at germination and early seedling stage for their tolerance to salinity. Agriculturists 2010; 8(2): 57-65. http://www.banglajol.info/index.php/AGRIC/article/view/7578
- [28] Gomez AK, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd ed., John Willy and Sons, New York, USA 1984. http://www.google.com/books?hl=ar&Ir=&id=PVN7_XRhpdU C&oi=fnd&pg=PA2&dq=Statistical+procedures+for+agricultur al+research&ots=Hoa58prrl6&sig=v65RCcVU8RUQjE zfvGhSE5K3w#v=onepage&g=&f=false
- [29] Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. "Statistical Methods" 7th ed. The Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, USA 1980.
- [30] Eskandari H, Kazemi K. Germination and Seedling Properties of Different Wheat Cultivars under Salinity Conditions. Notulae Sci Biol 2011; 3(3): 130-34. http://notulaebiologicae.ro/nsb/article/viewFile/6118/5672
- [31] Rahman Mur, Soomro UA, Haq MZ, Gul S. Effects of NaCl Salinity on Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Cultivars. World J Agric Sci 2008; 4(3): 398-403. http://www.thefreelibrary.com/ Effect+of+NaCl+salinity+on+wheat+(Triticum+aestivum+L.)+ cultivars+at...-a0263659406
- [32] Fallah S. Effect of salinity on seed germination of wheat cultivars. Proceedings of 14th Agronomy Conference during 21-25 September 2008, Adelaide, South Australia 2008. http://www.regional.org.au/au/asa/2008/concurrent/agronomy -landscape/5700_fallah.htm
- [33] Datta JK, Nag S, Banerjee A, Mondal NK. Impact of salt stress on five varieties of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) cultivars under laboratory condition. J Appl Sci Environ Manag 2009; 13(3): 93-97. http://www.bioline.org.br/ request?ja09044
- [34] Akbari G, Seyed AMMS, Saeed Y. Effect of auxin and salt stress (NaCl) on seed germination of wheat cultivars (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Pak J Biol Sci 2007; 15: 2557-61. http://docsdrive.com/pdfs/ansinet/pjbs/2007/2557-2561.pdf
- [35] Kollar D, Hades A. Water relation in the germination of seed. Encyclopedia of plant physiology; Physiology plant ecology. Large OL, Noble PS, Osmond CBO, Ziegher H, Eds. Springer-Verlog, Berlin 1982; pp. 402-431.
- [36] Rahman M, Kayani SA, Gul S. Combined effects of temperature and salinity stress on corn cv. Sunahry. Pak J Biol Sci 2000; 3(9): 1459-63. http://docsdrive.com/pdfs/ ansinet/pjbs/2000/1459-1463.pdf http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2000.1459.1463

- [37] Gulzar S, Khan MA, Ungar IA. Effect of salinity and temperature on the germination of Urochondra setulosa (Trin.) C.E. Hubbard. Seed Sci Technol 2001; 29: 21-29. http://www.halophyte.org/pdfs/drkhan_pdfs/23.pdf
- [38] Bishnoi UR, Pancholy DK. Comparative salt tolerance in triticale, wheat and rye during germination. Plant Soil 1979; 55: 491-93. http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/19800708324. html;jsessionid=9FEF959B42AC02401879889EB2FCC4CF http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02182708
- [39] Mass EV, Poss JA. Salt sensitivity of cowpea at various growth stages. Irrigation Sci 1989; 10: 313-20. http://www.springerlink.com/content/j6p18051p4276375/
- [40] Munns R. Physiological processes limiting plant growth in saline soils – some dogmas and hypotheses. Plant Cell Environ 1993; 16: 15-24. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1993.tb00840.x
- [41] Reggiani R, Bozo S, Bertanil A. The effect of salinity on early seedling growth of seeds of three wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. Can J Plant Sci 1994; 75: 175-77. http://pubs.aic.ca/doi/pdf/10.4141/cjps95-029
 http://dx.doi.org/10.4141/cjps95-029
- [42] Kashem MA, Nilufa S, Takeshi I, Hidetaka H, Tadeusz L, Toshiaki M. Alteration of starch-sucrose transition in germinating wheat seed under sodium chloride salinity. J Plant Biol 2000; 43(3): 121-27. http://link.springer.com/article/ 10.1007/BF03030488?null http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03030488
- [43] Zaman B, Niazi BH, Athar M, Ahmad M. Response of Wheat plants to sodium and calcium ion interaction under saline environment. Int J Environ Sci Tech 2005; 2(1): 7-12. http://www.bioline.org.br/pdf?st05002
- [44] Saboora A, Khadijeh K, Fatemeh B, Shokoofeh H. Salinity (NaCl) tolerance of wheat genotypes at germination and early seedling growth. Pak J Biol Sci 2006; 9(11): 2009-21. http://scialert.net/fulltext/?doi=pjbs.2006.2009.2021&org=11 http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2006.2009.2021
- [45] Akhtar P, Hussain F. Salinity tolerance of three range grasses at germination and early growth stages. Pak J Bot 2008; 40(6): 2437-41. http://www.pakbs.org/pjbot/PDFs/ 40(6)/PJB40(6)2437.pdf
- [46] Zafar M, Abdus SK, Muhammad AC, Muhammad AB. Triple test cross analysis for salinity tolerance in wheat. Pak J Agric Sci 2008; 45(3): 40-43.
- [47] Sayar R, Houcine B, Mohamed M, Habib K. Response of durum wheat (*Triticum durum Desf.*) growth to salt and drought stresses. Czech J Genet Plant Breed 2010; 46(2): 54-63. http://www.agriculturejournals.cz/publicFiles/22530.pdf
- [48] Maghsoudi K, Arvin MJ. Response of seed germination and seedling growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) cultivars to interactive effect of salinity and salicylic acid. Plant Ecophysiol 2010; 2: 91-96. http://www.jpep.ir/browse.php? a_id=17&sid=1&slc_lang=en
- [49] Fethi B, Rassaa N, Saadoun M, Mouna N, El Gazzeh M. Genetic adaptability of durum wheat to salinity level at germination stage. Afr J Biotechnol 2011; 10(21): 4400-404. http://www.academicjournals.org/AJb/full%20text/2011/23%2 0May/Fethi%20et%20al.htm
- [50] Roza G, Majid K. The effects of water and salt stresses on germination in two bread wheat genotypes. Afr J Biotechnol 2011; 10(77): 17805-11. http://www.academicjournals.org/ AJb/full%20text/2011/5Dec/Gholamin%20and%20Khayatnez had.htm
- [51] Jamall Y, Muhammad S, Jehan B. Effect of seed priming on growth and biochemical traits of wheat under saline conditions. Afr J Biotechnol 2011; 10(75): 17127-33. http://www.academicjournals.org/ajb/PDF/pdf2011/28Nov/Ja mal%20et%20al.pdf

[52] Mahmoodabad ZER, Shahzad JES, Majid K, Roza G. The study of effect salinity stress on germination and seedling growth in five different genotypes of wheat. Adv Environ Biol 2011; 5(1): 177-79. http://www.aensiweb.com/aeb/2011/177-179.pdf

Received on 07-09-2012

Accepted on 04-10-2012

Published on 16-10-2012

http://dx.doi.org/10.6000/1927-5129.2012.08.02.52

© 2012 Kandil et al.; Licensee Lifescience Global.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/</u>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.